



# Department of Justice

---

February 10, 1992

SUMMARY OF ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS  
FOR PUBLIC RELEASE  
FOR MONDAY, FEB. 3, 1992 THROUGH FRIDAY, FEB. 7, 1992

2/3/92

U.S. v. Massachusetts Allergy Society, Inc.; Wilfred N. Beaucher; Jack E. Farnham; Bernard A. Berman; and Irving W. Bailit  
Civil No.: 92-10273H (D. Mass.)

Civil complaint was filed in U.S. District Court in Boston, Massachusetts, charging the Massachusetts Allergy Society, Inc. (MAS) and four doctors with conspiring to fix and raise fees, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, paid for allergy services by certain health maintenance organizations in Massachusetts. At the same time, a consent decree was filed that, if approved by the court, would settle the suit against MAS and Wilfred N. Beaucher, Jack E. Farnham and Irving W. Bailit. On February 6, 1992 Bernard A. Berman also became a party to the consent decree.

2/3/92

Justice Department Intends to Challenge Proposed Joint Venture of Ingersoll-Rand and Dresser Industries

The Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, announced its intention to file a civil suit challenging a proposed joint venture by Ingersoll-Rand Co. and Dresser Industries Inc. to combine virtually all of the worldwide pump manufacturing and sales operations of the two companies. The suit would allege that the proposed joint venture would violate Section 1 of the Sherman Act and Section 7 of the Clayton Act by substantially lessening competition in the United States in the manufacture and sale of various types of highly engineered pumps used in the petroleum industry, as well as electrical generating

(MORE)

facilities. Ingersoll-Rand, headquartered in Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey, is the second largest seller of pumps in the United States in dollar volume and Dresser, headquartered in Dallas, Texas, is the third largest.

2/5/92

U.S. v. Darrell Foreman and Doyle Foreman  
Criminal No.: C192005 (D.N.D.)

One-count information was filed in U.S. District Court in Bismarck, North Dakota, charging Darrell Foreman and Doyle Foreman, both of Orient, South Dakota, with conspiring to set prices, rig bids and allocate customers, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, concerning bus and bus body contracts for transporting children in South Dakota.

Copies of legal filings are available from the Legal Procedure Unit, Antitrust Division, Room 3233, Telephone No.: 514-2481.

92-045